

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/697,978	KIKUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher P Bruenjes	1772	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Amendment filed as RCE

(1) Christopher P Bruenjes (PTO).

(3) _____.

(2) Sheridan Neimark (applicant's representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 April 2005

Time: 5:00pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

8 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant gave permission to make an Examiner's amendment in claims 8 and 11 to change dependency for rejoinder of claims.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

CL-Br
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)